City of York Council

Review of the Housing for Older People Project

Presentation to Audit and Governance Committee 29 July 2015





Introduction

- CYC embarked on a programme of work for the re-provision of accommodation for older people in July 2011
- This involved plans for new residential care facilities and a Care Village a relatively new and innovative concept to provide greater choice to the older people of York
- A comprehensive public consultation supported the proposals
- The planned timescale for delivery of the programme slipped considerably due to a series of complex challenges relating to:
 - site suitability;
 - design and specification; and
 - affordability.
- This led to the termination in March 2015 of a protracted competitive dialogue process
- An alternative solution is now being implemented to meet the City's needs
- In February 2015, the Chief Executive commissioned an independent review of programme management and governance to identify lessons to be learned and recommendations for future major change programmes
- The review was completed in June 2015.

Scope of Work

Programme Initiation

Strategic context and fit

Risk assessment

Initial scrutiny

Programme Governance

- Consultation and engagement
- Outline business case
- Programme governance and communication
- Affordability risk
- Wider linkages

Programme Management and Resourcing

- Programme management arrangements
- Risk management
- Resourcing and capacity
- Project costs

Procurement Process

- Programme management arrangements
- Risk management
- Resourcing and capacity

Approach to alternative solution

- Learning for revised modernisation programme
- Programme management and governance

Key Findings – Areas of Good Practice

- There was a comprehensive and well managed public consultation with positive feedback from external stakeholders
- A wider reference group was set up for ongoing engagement with external stakeholders
- Successful transition of residents and staff following closure of 2 homes in March 2012, independently assessed as meeting best practice
- Some elements of good project management at work-stream level, for example disciplined reporting to Programme Board, debate of risks and actions required
- A well run procurement process despite significant affordability challenges and reducing market appetite
- Considerable commitment and diligence from project team to explore ways to address challenges and find an affordable solution

Key Findings – Areas for Improvement and Learning

Issue	Recommendations	CYC Response
1. Business Case The business case for the investment evolved over a period of time in a fragmented way. It was difficult to evidence that all options were considered and risks mitigated.	 A disciplined business case process should be adhered to ensure all options generated, appraised and risks evaluated at an early stage . The Treasury Green Book approach is recommended as best practice. 	 CYC have invested in a programme management system, Verto. This has the functionality to support this disciplined approach.
2. Programme Governance and Communication Governance arrangements over risk were inconsistent. Significant risks were not systematically escalated to Corporate Management Team and Cabinet. Governance arrangements failed due to the perceived risk to the Council of disclosing the commercial sensitivities of the programme in a public domain. There was limited consideration of the wider strategic developments in Adult Social Care, Housing and the Health sector.	 Risk registers should be maintained by work-stream to feed a project risk log. A risk assurance framework approach is recommended for escalation to CMT and Cabinet. CYC officers and Members to examine options for creating an appropriate governance framework to allow debate of significant commercial risks. Developments in other sectors should be fully considered as part of options appraisal. 	 Verto provides the disciplined approach required for effective risk management and escalation. CYC are considering a Risk Controller role. Major programmes are now managed under CYC's Transformation Board.

Key Findings – Areas for Improvement and Learning

Issue	Recommendations	CYC Response
3. Financial Evaluation Issues raised relating to affordability at earlier stages of the project should have alerted CYC to the need for more rigorous financial scrutiny.	There should be a greater focus on challenging the economic, operational and financial assumptions as part of the early phase of business case development.	 A financial model is under development for the new programme. CYC recognise the need to invest in specialist skills to ensure this is robust and flexible.
4. Programme Management There were some weaknesses in arrangements, for example project planning, roles and resourcing and risk escalation.	Major projects should be supported by comprehensive, best practice programme management arrangements.	 CYC have taken steps to address through the implementation of Verto.
5. Procurement Process CYC officers involved had limited experience in competitive dialogue and associated commercial issues. A contingency plan was not considered in advance of the formal procurement phase on which the outcome of the project was critically dependent.	 Appropriately skilled support on complex procurements is necessary to allow fuller consideration of commercial implications, for example the impact of market failure and contingency planning. 	 CYC has recognised the need for contingency planning and enhanced procurement expertise. CYC is examining ways to address commercial skills gaps.